

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,973	07/02/2001	Kieran O'Hare	CM-1015 US NA	4221
23906	7590 03/01/2004	EXAMINER		INER
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			MORRIS, TERELL H	
	'ENT RECORDS CENT: ILL PLAZA 25/1128	EK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1771	:
WILMINGT	ON, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 03/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	$\mathcal{V}$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Anthon Consumer	09/681,973	O'HARE, KIERAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John J. Guarriello	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Not</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	·	,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	•	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/681,973

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Examiner acknowledges the amendment response of 11/28/2003.

2. The rejections were withdrawn because applicant amended the claims. New grounds of rejection follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 2,074,091 in view of Baldwin et al. 5,134,017.

GB'091 describes material suitable as an upper in footwear comprising a polymeric coating on a textile carrier (which corresponds to the claimed coating on a non-woven fabric), (see abstract; page 1, column 1, lines 38-42). GB'091 describes that it is known to use polymeric coatings on textile carriers which make shoe uppers, (page 1, column 1, 42-43). GB'091 describes the polymeric coating can be polyvinyl chloride, (page 1, column 2, lines 119-122).

Application/Control Number: 09/681,973

Art Unit: 1771

GB'091 differs from the claimed invention because it is silent about the thermal pointbonding.

Baldwin describes the making of functional barrier fabric, (column 1, lines 13-15). Baldwin describes a non-woven substrate coated with polymeric foam (penetrating which corresponds to the claimed impregnation), column 1, lines 63-64, which can be vinyl chloride or urethane, (column 1, lines 60-63; column 2, lines 22-29; column 3, lines 1-4). Baldwin describes the non-woven substrate, which can be nylon (column 2, lines 26-29), and can be pointbonded, (column 2, lines 26-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the pointbonded nonwoven nylon for the fabric material of GB'091 motivated with the expectation that the upper would function with comfort to the wearer and would be cost effective as noted in Baldwin, (column 1, lines 15, 18-19). Moreover, Baldwin describes the fabric's performance may be adjusted to accommodate various requirements a properties by changing the nature of the foam and/or the manner or density to which it is applied, (column 2, lines 14-17).

Application/Control Number: 09/681,973

Art Unit: 1771

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Guarriello whose telephone number is 571-272-1476. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hr. flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 1771

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Guarriello Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771 Page 5

February 13, 2004

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700